

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,825	08/05/2004	David R. Hall	66.0069	4824
38046	46 7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
JEFFREY I			WONG, ALE	BERT KANG
INTELLISE 400 N. SAM	RV, INC HOUSTON PARK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 900			2612	
HOUSTON,	TX 77060		DATE MAILED: 08/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•
---

Office Action Summary    10/710,825		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Albert K. Wong		10/710,825	HALL ET AL.
The MALINE DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time map be available under the provided on 43 °FR 113(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory parties will apply and will expire SN (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failuse to reply will this set or canded period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory parties will apply and will expire SN (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failuse to reply will this set or the will be reply will by stated, case the application follower than expired the provided and state than the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties that the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties of the communication of the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties of the communication of the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties of the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties of the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties of the sense of the parties of the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any sense parties of the mailing date of this communication.  1) Since this application is to condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practical and the parties of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Period for Reply  A SHORTENEO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  Failure to reply selected above, the machine undustry paried will apply and will expire \$(N) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply will be above, the machine undustry paried will apply and will expire \$(N) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply will be above, the machine will be application to become ARMICONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Avery reply received by the Official of the through the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply will be selected by the provision of the provision of the part of the mailing date of this communication.  **Allow This action is FINAL.**  2a) This action is FINAL.**  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) target allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) are allowed.  6) Claim(s)		Albert K. Wong	2612
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of them may be available under the provided and of the provided of the p		pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-13.15-18.20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13.15-18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	August 2004.	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims  4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13.15-18.20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13.15-18.20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13.15-18.20 and 21 is/are rejected.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Other:  5. Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6. ☐ Other:  5. Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6. ☐ Other:	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-13.15-18.20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 14 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other:  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other:	Disposition of Claims		
9	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13,15-18,20 and 21 is/are rejected 7) ☒ Claim(s) 14 and 19 is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Breferences Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademant Office	Application Papers		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2006</u> is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	a) accepted or b) objected or b) obj	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Other:  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Control of Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
- I OL-320 [Nev. 7-03] Littles Action Stimmary Day at Dakas kin kind that the control of	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/710,825 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

1. This Office action is in response to the application filed August 5, 2004. Claims 1-21 are pending.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 17, the term cooperatively arranged is indefinite.

Regarding claim 18, both claims 17 and 18 recite "a shield". It is not clear if there is one shield or two shields.

Regarding claim 20, the electronic circuitry lacks an antecedent basis.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-13, 15-18, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (5,468,153).

Regarding claims 1-2, the claimed first and second coaxial housing are shown as items 62 and 52. The housing comprise transmission elements. Item 60 is a first transmission element and the housing in item 62 constitutes the second transmission element. The device is used to convey signals between two elements of a drill pipe where the housing rotate with respect to

Art Unit: 2612

each other. While the claimed electronic circuitry is not shown it would have been obvious that the device is Brown is used to communicate with other electronic circuitry since the device is used to conduct signals for control purposes. Further, while the claim recites adaptation for communication, this does not appear to result in any changes other than the conduction of a signal. Thus, the recitation of communication with elements has been interpreted as intended use limitations. Such limitations have been given very little weight.

Regarding claim 3, the system in Brown intends to use the drill pipe as a conductive medium. It is well known that drill pipes with a conductor to transmit signals may be substituted. It would have been obvious to use an internal conductor within a drill pipe to gain the advantage of better signal transmission.

Regarding claim 4, since the device in Brown is used to conduct signals to the surface via the drill pipe, it would have been obvious to use an external conductor connected to the first transmission element to provide a connection between the first conductor and the electronic equipment at the surface.

Regarding claim 5, copper wire is a conventional conductor. It would have been obvious to use items for the well-known functions.

Regarding claims 6-8, the location of electronic circuitry is considered an obvious design choice since it is not critical to the invention.

Regarding claims 9-12, it would have been obvious to locate the electronics in a recess to provide protection for the circuitry. The location of the recess would have been obvious since it based on the needs of the design.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,825

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 13, it is conventional to provide a shield to protect electrical connections from the environment. Thus, the inclusion of a shield for it's well known purpose would have been obvious.

Regarding claim 15, a repeater circuit and a sensor are conventional electronic circuitry in a borehole. It would have been obvious to communicate with conventional items in a borehole.

Regarding claim 16, it would have been obvious to include an internal power source, such as a battery, to power any electronics nearby.

Regarding claims 17, 18, and 20-21, these limitations have been addressed in prior claims.

6. Claims 14 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert K. Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,825 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Albert K. Wong August 23, 2006

ALBERT K. WONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

All